

European Society of Endodontology position statement: Management of deep caries and the exposed pulp



European Society of Endodontology (ESE) developed by:

H. F. Duncan¹, K. M. Galler², P. L. Tomson³, S. Simon⁴, I. El-Karim⁵, R. Kundzina⁶, G. Krastl⁷, T. Dammaschke⁸, H. Fransson⁹, M. Markqvart¹⁰, M. Zehnder¹¹ & L. Bjørndal¹⁰

¹Division of Restorative Dentistry, Dublin Dental University Hospital, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland; ²Department of Conservative Dentistry and Periodontology, University Hospital Regensburg, Regensburg, Germany; ³School of Dentistry, Institute of Clinical Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK; ⁴Paris Diderot University, Paris 7, Paris, France; ⁵School of Medicine Dentistry and Biomedical Sciences, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, UK; ⁶Faculty of Health Sciences, Institute of Clinical Odontology, UiT the Arctic University of Norway, Tromsø, Norway; ⁷Department of Conservative Dentistry and Periodontology, University Hospital of Würzburg, Würzburg; ⁸Department of Periodontology and Operative Dentistry, Westphalian Wilhelms-University, Münster, Germany; ⁹Faculty of Odontology, Department of Endodontics, Malmö University, Malmö, Sweden; ¹⁰Cariology and Endodontics, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, Department of Odontology, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark; and ¹¹Department of Preventive Dentistry, Periodontology and Cariology, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

Abstract

European Society of Endodontology (ESE) developed by: Duncan HF, Galler KM, Tomson PL, Simon S, El-Karim I, Kundzina R, Krastl G, Dammaschke T, Fransson H, Markqvart M, Zehnder M., Bjørndal L. European Society of Endodontology position statement: Management of deep caries and the exposed pulp. *International Endodontic Journal*, 52, 923–934, 2019.

This position statement on the management of deep caries and the exposed pulp represents the consensus of an expert committee, convened by the European Society of Endodontology (ESE). Preserving the pulp in a healthy state with sustained vitality, preventing apical periodontitis and developing minimally invasive biologically based therapies are key themes within contemporary clinical endodontics. The aim of this statement was to summarize current best evidence on the diagnosis and classification of deep caries and caries-induced pulpal

disease, as well as indicating appropriate clinical management strategies for avoiding and treating pulp exposure in permanent teeth with deep or extremely deep caries. In presenting these findings, areas of controversy, low-quality evidence and uncertainties are highlighted, prior to recommendations for each area of interest. A recently published review article provides more detailed information and was the basis for this position statement (Bjørndal *et al.* 2019, *International Endodontic Journal*, doi:10.1111/iej.13128). The intention of this position statement is to provide the practitioner with relevant clinical guidance in this rapidly developing area. An update will be provided within 5 years as further evidence emerges.

Keywords: caries, dental pulp, pulp capping, pulpitis, pulpotomy, stepwise excavation, tertiary dentinogenesis, vital pulp treatment.

Received 14 January 2019; accepted 14 January 2019

Further contributions and revisions were made by ESE Executive Board members: Dummer PMH, Franco V, Gambarini G, Ørstavik D, Tjäderhane L, Whitworth J

Correspondence: Paul M. H. Dummer, CEO of the European Society of Endodontology, Postboks 1237 Vika, 0110 Oslo, Norway (e-mail: ceo@e-s-e.eu).

ESE-approved definitions and terminology/glossary

Deep caries

Caries reaching the inner quarter of dentine, but with a zone of hard or firm dentine between the caries and the pulp, which is radiographically detectable when located on an interproximal or occlusal surface. There is a risk of pulp exposure during operative treatment.

Extremely deep caries

Caries penetrating the entire thickness of the dentine, radiographically detectable when located on an interproximal or occlusal surface. Pulp exposure is unavoidable during operative treatment.

Soft, firm and hard dentine

Soft dentine can be excavated with minimum resistance using hand instruments, whilst firm dentine should be resistant to excavation using hand instruments. Hard dentine should be sound and resistant to probe penetration and scratching.

Selective carious-tissue removal

Selective removal to soft dentine:

Soft dentine is left only on the pulpal aspect of the cavity, whilst peripheral carious dentine is removed to hard dentine.

Selective removal to firm dentine

Firm dentine is left only on the pulpal aspect of the cavity, whilst peripheral carious dentine is removed to hard dentine.

Non-selective carious-tissue removal

Complete removal of soft and firm carious dentine from the periphery and central aspects of the cavity until hard dentine is reached.

Vital pulp treatment (VPT)

Strategies aimed at maintaining the health of all or part of the pulp.

Indirect pulp capping

Application of a biomaterial onto a thin dentine barrier in a one-stage carious-tissue removal technique

generally to hard dentine. Considered more aggressive than selective carious-tissue removal in one-stage and stepwise excavation. Leaves neither soft nor firm carious dentine behind.

Selective carious-tissue removal in one-stage

Application of a biomaterial onto a dentine barrier in an indirect one-stage selective carious-tissue removal technique. Removal to soft or firm dentine. Immediate placement of a permanent restoration.

Stepwise excavation

Application of a biomaterial in an indirect two-stage selective carious-tissue removal technique. Temporary restoration placement between visits and re-entry after 6–12 months. First stage involves selective carious removal to soft dentine, to an extent that facilitates proper placement of a temporary restoration, and second stage removal to firm dentine. Final placement of a permanent restoration.

Direct pulp capping

Following the preservation of an aseptic working field, application of a biomaterial directly onto the exposed pulp, prior to immediate placement of a permanent restoration.

Class I. No preoperative presence of a deep carious lesion. Pulp exposure judged clinically to be through sound dentine with an expectation that the underlying pulp tissue is healthy (exposure due to a traumatic injury to the tooth or an iatrogenic exposure).

Class II. Preoperative presence of a deep or extremely deep carious lesion. Pulp exposure judged clinically to be through a zone of bacterial contamination with an expectation that the underlying pulp tissue is inflamed. Enhanced operative protocol recommended (aseptic procedure using magnification, disinfectant and application of a hydraulic calcium silicate cement).

Partial pulpotomy

Removal of a small portion of coronal pulp tissue after exposure, followed by application of a biomaterial directly onto the remaining pulp tissue prior to placement of a permanent restoration.

Full pulpotomy

Complete removal of the coronal pulp and application of a biomaterial directly onto the pulp tissue at the level of the root canal orifice(s), prior to placement of a permanent restoration.

Pulpectomy

Total removal of the pulp from the root canal system followed by root canal treatment, prior to placement of a permanent restoration.

Summary of key recommendations

Position statement area	Recommendations
Terminology	ESE-approved definitions highlighted and listed
Classifying disease severity	Patient signs/symptoms and clinical findings, in combination with caries depth assessed radiographically Reversible and irreversible pulpitis remain useful, but require revision to reflect the initial nature of irreversible damage, which is partial and confined to the coronal pulp.
Diagnosing the pulpal inflammatory state	History, clinical examination, pulp sensibility testing (electric and cold) and diagnostically acceptable periapical radiographs required CBCT use is not justified Reversible pulpitis symptomless or has episodes of less intense, shorter-lasting pain. Irreversible damage (partial or total) characterized by spontaneous, radiating pain that lingers after removal of stimulus
Aseptic management	Tooth disinfection, sterile technique and rubber dam use at all times throughout the management of deep caries whether pulp exposed or not
Managing deep caries to avoid pulp exposure	What? Selective one-stage carious-tissue removal or stepwise excavation When? Signs and symptoms of reversible pulpitis provided radiographic assessment indicate caries to be no deeper than the pulpal quarter. One surface (occlusal) carious lesions have more predictable outcome than multi-surface lesions
Managing carious pulp exposure	What? Pulp capping (class I [traumatic/iatrogenic] or class II [cariou]), partial pulpotomy and full pulpotomy. When? Class II pulp cap or pulpotomy is indicated after the exposure of asymptomatic or reversibly inflamed pulp tissue during carious-tissue excavation For class II capping of deep carious lesions, an enhanced protocol should be used; including magnification, a disinfection irrigant and the application of a hydraulic calcium silicate cement Cariou exposure with symptoms indicative of irreversible pulpitis, when no rubber dam being used and instruments contaminated during caries removal, should be treated aseptically with pulpectomy Alternatively full pulpotomy may be successful using an aseptic technique in cases where there is partial irreversible pulpitis in the coronal pulp; however, better long-term prospective randomized data is required
Vital pulp treatment materials	A hydraulic calcium silicate or a glass ionomer cement should be placed over the deep dentine when pulp is not exposed Hydraulic calcium silicate material placed directly onto the exposed pulp in pulp capping, partial pulpotomy or full pulpotomy prior to definitive restoration
Follow-up after vital pulp treatment	Monitored by history and clinical radiographic examination at 6 months, supplemented by periapical radiograph at 1 year If symptoms persist or there is uncertainty regarding healing the tooth should continue to be assessed at regular intervals Thermal and electric pulp sensibility testing should be carried out to monitor pulpal response, noting that teeth with full pulpotomy will be unresponsive

Introduction

The destructive nature of conventional dental treatment and concerns of overtreatment and the 'restorative cycle' have led the profession to promote minimally invasive biologically based treatment strategies. This has resulted in a shift in the management of deep caries from non-selective (complete) removal to selective (partial) removal, reducing the risk of pulp exposure (Innes *et al.* 2016). Contemporary management strategies for the cariously exposed pulp have seen the re-emergence and extension of vital pulp treatment (VPT) techniques such as partial and complete pulpotomy (Simon *et al.* 2013). As the maintenance of pulpal health including the prevention of apical periodontitis are core values in Endodontics, these developments are of fundamental importance to the profession. This position statement reflects the current views of the Society on these issues. It provides the practitioner with recommendations regarding the diagnosis and treatment of deep and extremely carious lesions and of the exposed pulp in permanent teeth based on current knowledge.

This statement limits itself to the management of extensive carious lesions, further subdivided into two radiographic categories, deep and extremely deep. This categorization aims to quantify the risk of pulp exposure, as well as potentially highlight a threshold for the onset of more severe, likely to be irreversible, pulp inflammation (Reeves & Stanley 1966). Deep carious lesions reach the inner quarter of dentine, but still with a zone of hard or firm dentine between the lesion and the pulp detectable radiographically, whilst an extremely deep carious lesion penetrates the entire thickness of the dentine clinically and radiographically (Bjørndal 2018, Bjørndal *et al.* 2019).

Caries is a biofilm-induced disease, driven by a supply of fermentable carbohydrates (Nyvad *et al.* 2013, Pitts *et al.* 2017). The resulting ecological shift creates an acidogenic, cariogenic niche, which breaks down dental hard tissue to form a cavity (Dorozhkin & Epple 2002, Schwendicke *et al.* 2016). Although pulpitis will accompany the carious process throughout its progression, it is not until the infected demineralized carious dentine is close to the pulp that the inflammatory response becomes severe and there is a risk of bacteria entering the pulp (Reeves & Stanley 1966). In animal models employing healthy dentine, pulp tissue has an innate ability to repair if the bacterial challenge is removed and the tooth is suitably restored (Mjör & Tronstad 1974, Warfvinge &

Bergenholtz 1986). Preservation of pulpal health maintains the pulp's developmental (primary and secondary dentinogenesis), defensive (tertiary dentinogenesis, immunoresponse) as well as retaining the pulp's mechanoreceptors.

Appropriate caries management within VPT aims to remove the microbial irritation and prevent new bacterial insult by placing a sealing dental biomaterial to protect exposed dentine and pulp from external stimuli. Therapeutically, VPT is quicker, less technically complex and invasive than pulpectomy and root canal treatment (RCT), whilst also reducing unwanted effects such as discolouration, fracture or residual periapical inflammation. Conversely, it can be argued that VPT and management of deep carious lesions are sensitive to ongoing bacterial contamination making the technique 'biologically demanding', often requiring magnification and expertise to treat. Thus, the overarching aims of caries management and VPT are to manage bacterial contamination, arrest caries progression, stimulate tertiary dentine formation and promote pulpal repair as well as restoring the cavity to create a durable seal for long-term preservation of a symptom-free and functional tooth with a healthy pulp. The maintenance of an aseptic environment provides the optimal platform for successful VPT and necessitates the mandatory application of rubber dam and an aseptic procedure throughout the treatment even if the pulp is not exposed.

Consensus-based recommendations

Classifications of disease severity to guide decision-making in vital pulp treatment

Multi-centre prospective studies investigating the management of deep caries in adult patients have focused on the extent and depth of carious lesions (deep, extremely deep) radiographically as the principle inclusion criterion (Bjørndal *et al.* 2010, 2017). A detailed radiographic description of the caries was included in the experimental protocols; however, the pulpal symptomatology was interrogated in less detail (Bjørndal *et al.* 2010, 2017). A recent prospective study, investigating indirect pulp capping (IPC) in deep caries, included a pulpal classification as an inclusion criterion and noted the importance of pain severity on outcome (Hashem *et al.* 2015). On a similar theme, an editorial in the International Endodontic Journal described a new classification system for pulpitis linking symptoms rather than radiographic depth to management in VPT

(Wolters *et al.* 2017), which highlights a lack of consensus in the classification of pulpitis. The American Association of Endodontists (AAE) endorsed the currently accepted classification of pulpal disease in 2013, describing pulpitis as either reversible or irreversible depending on clinical signs and symptoms (American Association of Endodontists 2013). The symptoms of reversible pulpitis range from no complaint to a sharp pain sensation with hot/cold stimuli and no tenderness to percussion; notably, the symptoms should resolve after removal of the stimulus. Spontaneous, radiating pain that lingers after removal of the stimulus and causes sleep disturbance tend to indicate irreversible pulpitis (Dummer *et al.* 1980). Clinical judgement is required, however, as irreversible pulpitis may be symptomless in anywhere between 14 and 60% of cases (Seltzer *et al.* 1963, Michaelson & Holland 2002).

Although reversible and irreversible pulpitis may correlate with observational histological data (Ricucci *et al.* 2014), the decision to categorize a pulp as reversibly inflamed does not determine the actual potential of the inflamed tissue to repair. Therefore, it is critical that teeth undergoing less invasive carious-tissue removal strategies, that is IPC, selective caries removal, stepwise excavation, pulp capping or pulpotomy after pulpal exposure, are monitored postoperatively to ensure continuing pulpal health. At this moment, it is accepted that the diagnoses irreversible and reversible pulpitis are clinically based terms that are operational, but not biological. With the development of pulpotomy interventions, aimed at permanently maintaining part of the pulp in teeth with signs and symptoms of irreversible pulpitis (Qudeimat *et al.* 2017, Taha & Khazali 2017, Taha *et al.* 2017), there have been calls to consider new more representative ways to classify pulpitis (Hashem *et al.* 2015, Wolters *et al.* 2017) with partial irreversible pulpitis perhaps a more accurate clinical reflection of the histological picture (Seltzer *et al.* 1963, Ricucci *et al.* 2014).

Recommendation

Caries depth radiographically, as well as clinical indicators of activity (e.g. symptoms, progression rate, colour, sensibility tests), should be used to assist clinical decision-making after history, meticulous examination of the mouth and relevant tooth, and special tests. The currently accepted diagnostic terms, reversible and irreversible pulpitis, remain useful but will require revision going forward. Vital pulps should be considered either reversibly inflamed or in the initial

stages show irreversible inflammation only in the area of the coronal pulp associated with the carious lesion. Without treatment, this partial irreversible pulpitis will progress until the entire pulp is irreversibly inflamed and RCT is required.

How should we diagnose the inflammatory state of the pulp?

The outcome of VPT is dependent on the inflammatory state of the pulp and the presence of microorganisms, with carious exposures (class II) generally having a less favourable outcome compared with traumatic exposures (class I) (Al-Hiyasat *et al.* 2006); however, the current methods of accurately ascertaining the stage of pulpitis are poor (Mejàre *et al.* 2012). Assessment should begin with an accurate pain history including previous pain in the same tooth, detailing response to temperature extremes, spontaneity of pain, sleep disturbance and be supplemented with a meticulous clinical and periapical radiographic examination (indicating potential pulp necrosis and apical pathosis) to obtain a provisional primary diagnosis of the pulp status. Diagnostically acceptable periapical radiographs should use a paralleling technique, a film holder device and when assessing the image, the whole tooth should be visible as well as clear evidence of apical tissues (>3 mm) beyond the root tip with no interproximal overlap, distortion or processing errors (European Society of Endodontology 2006, Whaites & Drage 2013). Although cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) has been proposed as an effective way to detect apical lesions associated with irreversible pulpitis (Abella *et al.* 2012), its use cannot be recommended for assessing pulpal inflammatory state. Thermal and electric pulp testing (EPT) remain the appropriate clinical tests to use, but are crude and non-quantitative (Villa-Chávez *et al.* 2013, Jespersen *et al.* 2014). Heat can be used to mimic the patient's symptoms and may remain useful in the diagnosis of pulpitis; but in general, the heat test has low accuracy (Mainkar & Kim 2018). A painful response to cold stimuli remains the principle symptom of acute forms of pulpitis that makes patients seek emergency dental treatment (Rechenberg *et al.* 2016a). These diagnostic methods are subjective and relatively crude, only providing clinical guidance. Traditionally, these methods were not believed to reflect the true histopathological status of the pulp (Garfunkel *et al.* 1973, Dummer *et al.* 1980); however, this has recently been questioned (Ricucci *et al.* 2014).

All current techniques are limited both in their capacity to accurately describe the continuum of pulpal inflammation and to determine the link between inflammatory status and healing potential of the affected tissue. As a result, the development of new pulp tests and chairside assays of potential prognostic indicators (disease biomarkers) should be a focus for translational research (Swedish Council on Health Technology Assessment 2010, Rechenberg *et al.* 2016b, Ballal *et al.* 2017). In the absence of clinically available molecular tests of inflammation, the colour and intensity of pulp bleeding on exposure may provide a crude measure or surrogate marker of inflammation (Matsuo *et al.* 1996), and capacity to recover after treatment.

Recommendation

A detailed pain history and meticulous clinical examination supplemented with a high-quality periapical radiograph and pulp sensibility testing using low temperature cold testing in combination with EPT are necessary to assess the status of the pulp. The routine use of CBCT is not justified for assessing pulpitis. Vital pulps should be considered reversibly inflamed or alternatively partially or completely irreversibly inflamed under deep or extremely caries. Irreversible damage is characterized by episodes of spontaneous, radiating pain that lingers after removal of the stimulus. Reversible pulpitis is either symptomless or has episodes of less intense, shorter-lasting pain.

How should we manage deep caries to avoid pulp exposure?

One-stage selective carious-tissue removal to soft or firm dentine (Maltz *et al.* 2012) and two-stage stepwise excavation (Bjørndal *et al.* 2017) are indicated in deep carious lesions when the tooth is asymptomatic or has signs and symptoms indicative of reversible pulpitis. Non-selective (complete) caries removal is no longer regarded the treatment of choice in deep carious lesions, with recent consensus considering it to represent overtreatment (Schwendicke *et al.* 2016).

These selective removal VPT approaches have demonstrated a 3-year success, defined as the absence of clinical symptoms and maintenance of pulp health, of 90% for one-stage selective removal and 70% for stepwise excavation (Maltz *et al.* 2012); however, within this study, many of the stepwise cases did not return for the second visit, making comparison

difficult. Notably, one surface restorations performed better than two or more-surface restorations (Maltz *et al.* 2012), perhaps due to the practical difficulties for the operator attaining an effective marginal seal and the patient maintaining adequate oral hygiene interproximally. After 5 years, one-stage selective removal resulted in a survival rate of 80% (Maltz *et al.* 2017). Another 5-year randomized controlled trial reported the success rate of stepwise excavation after 5 years to be 60% compared with non-selective carious-tissue removal of 46% (Bjørndal *et al.* 2017). Taken together, it can be concluded that the selective one-stage caries removal and stepwise excavation procedures have not been adequately compared in a randomized clinical trial.

Less invasive carious-tissue removal techniques are generally carried out using round burs and hand excavators (Maltz *et al.* 2012, Bjørndal *et al.* 2017). One- and two-stage selective carious-tissue removal techniques appear effective in reducing the risk of pulp exposure (Schwendicke *et al.* 2016), which is desirable, based on the assumption that pulp exposure is a negative prognostic factor in teeth with deep carious lesions (Bjørndal *et al.* 2010, 2017, Schwendicke *et al.* 2016). Advocates of single-visit caries removal suggest that re-entry is unnecessary (Maltz *et al.* 2012) whilst supporters of stepwise techniques highlight that proper clinical evidence is still not available to show if there is a clinical difference between the approaches (Bjørndal 2018).

Regardless of the removal technique employed, carious tissue should be removed from the periphery of the cavity to hard dentine (i.e. non-selective removal), leaving soft or firm dentine only on the pulpal aspect of the cavity. This facilitates optimal bonding and sealing of the cavity from the oral environment. As dentine thickness over the pulp cannot be accurately assessed clinically, the use of a biologically based material is recommended, such as a hydraulic calcium silicate. Alternatively, a conventional glass-ionomer cement could be applied to the dentine barrier prior to restoration with a definitive resin-based composite restoration (Hashem *et al.* 2015, 2018). The maintenance of pulp health should be confirmed by pulp sensibility testing over time. Teeth exhibiting spontaneous or constant pain, a non-restorable crown, a heightened or lingering response to thermal pulp sensibility testing (indicating potential irreversible pulpitis) will have reduced prognosis and are not suitable candidates for procedures aimed at avoiding pulp exposure (Bjørndal *et al.* 2010, Swedish

Council on Health Technology Assessment 2010). From a practical perspective, it is critical that the dentine is handled carefully and a suitable definitive restoration is placed to prevent further micro-leakage. Magnification should ideally be used throughout along with good contamination control using a rubber dam.

Recommendations

Selective carious-tissue removal (one-stage or two-stage stepwise technique) is advocated in teeth with reversible pulpitis, provided radiographic assessment indicates caries has progressed no deeper than the pulpal quarter with a zone of dentine separating the carious lesion from the pulp chamber. One surface (occlusal) carious lesions are generally easier to manage and have more predictable outcomes. Asepsis should be preserved throughout the procedure including the use of rubber dam. A hydraulic calcium silicate or a glass-ionomer cement should be placed over the deep dentine in both one- and two-stage procedures. Current clinical evidence does not indicate a preference for one material type over the other (Hashem *et al.* 2018). The material should be carefully placed to cover all remaining carious dentine and have sufficient thickness to withstand ingress of new bacteria into the lesion. The material should be able to promote, at least not inhibit, tertiary dentine formation.

How should we manage carious pulp exposure?

Treatment options after carious pulp exposure include direct pulp capping and pulpotomy (partial and full). In general, pulp preservation in cases of carious pulp exposure is only advocated in teeth with reversible pulpitis. Several recent studies have confirmed high levels of success after non-selective (complete) caries removal, leading to pulp exposure, and an enhanced VPT procedure involving the use of a hydraulic calcium silicate cement (not calcium hydroxide), an operating microscope (Bogen *et al.* 2008, Marques *et al.* 2015) and/or the use of a disinfection agent (Mente *et al.* 2014, Kundzina *et al.* 2017). Operator skill and experience may also be an important, if not independently tested, variable determining the success of VPT.

This enhanced protocol may help to explain the difference between these studies and the relatively low success of a classic pulp capping protocol examined both retrospectively (Barthel *et al.* 2000) and prospectively in deep carious lesions (Bjørndal *et al.* 2010).

Notably, high-quality clinical trials comparing these interventions are lacking, and as a result, it remains to be elucidated if these recent promising results can be replicated in a primary care setting. Furthermore, for dentists competent in handling pulp tissues, non-selective carious removal and pulp exposure can offer an opportunity to assess pulp damage, the presence of necrosis in the pulp chamber, the level of pulp bleeding and the true extent of the carious destruction.

Pulpal health should be confirmed preoperatively by pulp sensibility testing and intraoperatively by the presence of vital tissue after exposure. Exclusion criteria may alter in the future; however, teeth exhibiting spontaneous or constant pain, an unrestorable crown, continuous uncontrollable pulp bleeding or the presence of necrotic tissue in the pulp chamber are generally not predictable candidates for pulp capping or partial pulpotomy (Bjørndal *et al.* 2010, Swedish Council on Health Technology Assessment 2010).

A direct pulp capping procedure should only be carried out after non-selective carious-tissue removal on a restorable tooth. Clinically, it is essential that during the VPT procedure, the tooth is isolated prior to pulpal exposure with rubber dam and disinfected. Magnification should be used throughout the procedure to ensure removal of all soft dentine and to inspect the pulp tissue. The damaged and exposed pulp tissue can be treated with the direct application of a capping material (pulp capping, class II), or removal of a small coronal part of the exposed pulp tissue (partial pulpotomy). Some degree of pulp tissue removal may be preferable in carious exposures to aid physical removal of the biofilm and superficial inflamed pulp tissue that may also contain microorganisms (Mejare & Cvek 1993, Barrieshi-Nusair & Qudeimat 2006, Chailertvanitkul *et al.* 2014). If further caries excavation is necessary after exposure, a partial pulpotomy may be preferable due to the risk of transporting infected dentine chips into the pulp; however, the effect of such procedures may depend on operator skills and equipment (e.g. operating microscope).

The dentine should be carefully manipulated using sterile burs and sharp instruments, with a high-speed bur and water-coolant used for pulp tissue removal (Granath & Hagman 1971), prior to disinfection and control of pulpal bleeding. Haemostasis and disinfection should be achieved using cotton pellets soaked ideally with sodium hypochlorite (0.5%–5%) or chlorhexidine (0.2%–2%) (Mente *et al.* 2014, Kundzina *et al.* 2017, Harms *et al.* 2019). Although physiological saline has

been the acceptable standard in class I pulp capping scenarios, it is limited by a lack of disinfection properties, whilst the use of ferric sulphate although an effective haemostatic agent will distort the assessment of pulpal bleeding. If haemostasis cannot be controlled after 5 min, further pulp tissue should be removed (partial or full pulpotomy) and the wound surface rinsed as before. In cases with signs and symptoms indicative of irreversible pulpitis (i.e. partial irreversible pulpitis confined to the coronal pulp tissue), a full coronal pulpotomy can be carried out to the level of the root canal orifices with bleeding arrested as detailed previously. This procedure may be easier for practitioners without access to magnification to carry out than partial pulpotomy or even direct pulp capping. In all cases, a hydraulic calcium silicate cement should be placed directly onto the pulp tissue and the tooth definitively restored immediately to prevent further micro-leakage (Al-Hiyasat *et al.* 2006, Mente *et al.* 2010, Harms *et al.* 2019). If bleeding cannot be controlled after full pulpotomy, a pulpectomy and RCT should be carried out, provided the tooth is restorable.

Recommendations

Treatment of deep (and extremely deep) carious dentine should be done aseptically with sterile instruments, tooth disinfection and isolation by rubber dam. VPT in the form of a class II pulp cap or partial pulpotomy is indicated after exposure of reversibly inflamed pulp tissue during caries excavation. Carious exposure with symptoms indicative of irreversible pulpitis, no rubber dam and instruments contaminated during caries removal should be treated aseptically with pulpectomy. Alternatively, full pulpotomy may be successful in cases where there is partial irreversible pulpitis in the coronal pulp; however, better long-term prospective randomized data are required before this becomes the treatment of choice. For capping deep or extremely deep carious lesions, an enhanced protocol is strongly recommended adding the use of magnification, a disinfection irrigant and the use of a hydraulic calcium silicate cement.

What materials should we use for VPT?

Resin-based composites and dentine-bonding agents have been investigated in treatment of the exposed pulp, but are now contraindicated due to cytotoxicity (Krifka *et al.* 2012), absence of dentine formation over the wound site and poor clinical outcome (De Souza Costa *et al.* 2000). Hydraulic calcium silicate

materials, such as mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA), have demonstrated superior histological (Aeinehchi *et al.* 2003, Nair *et al.* 2008) and clinical outcomes compared with calcium hydroxide in treatment of the exposed pulp (Cho *et al.* 2013, Hilton *et al.* 2013, Mente *et al.* 2014, Kundzina *et al.* 2017).

Furthermore, there is evidence to suggest that hydraulic calcium silicate cements can be used when the pulp is not exposed (e.g. IPC) after deep caries removal (Leye Benoist *et al.* 2012, Petrou *et al.* 2014, Hashem *et al.* 2015). However, longer-term clinical and radiographic follow-up demonstrated no significant improvement with hydraulic calcium silicate cements, compared with glass-ionomer cements in terms of symptoms and pulp status (Hashem *et al.* 2018). A range of hydraulic calcium silicate cements are available, which share similar biological properties, but exhibit chemical differences, which influences radiopacity, setting time and the potential to discolour the tooth (Parirokh *et al.* 2018).

Recommendations

A hydraulic calcium silicate material or glass-ionomer should be placed during procedures aimed at avoiding pulp exposure onto the residual dentine prior to a definitive restoration. After pulp exposure, during a pulp capping, partial pulpotomy or full pulpotomy, a hydraulic calcium silicate material should be placed directly onto the exposed pulp prior to definitive restoration.

How should VPT cases be followed up and what is the expected prognosis?

VPT procedures should be assessed 6 and 12 months postoperatively and at yearly intervals (if necessary) for 4 years thereafter. The tooth should respond positively to pulp sensibility testing within normal limits. It should be noted that teeth may not respond (e.g. older patients, multi-surface resin-based composite or ceramic restorations) and that teeth which have undergone full pulpotomy are expected to be unresponsive to testing. The patient should be free of pain and other symptoms; there should be an absence of apical periodontitis and signs of internal root resorption, whilst in immature teeth, there should also be radiological evidence of continued root formation (European Society of Endodontology 2006). Success, defined as absence of symptoms and maintenance of pulp vitality after a least 1 year, is in the region of 70%–90% for selective, one- and two-stage carious removal procedures (Bjørndal *et al.* 2010, Maltz *et al.*

2012, Hashem *et al.* 2015, Bjørndal *et al.* 2017, Ali *et al.* 2018, Hashem *et al.* 2018). Equally, in mature permanent teeth with caries and symptoms, no worse than reversible pulpitis, exposing the pulp and capping with a calcium silicate material, is also a predictable procedure (Mente *et al.* 2014, Marques *et al.* 2015, Kundzina *et al.* 2017). However, data on the carious penetration depth in these studies were not well defined (Marques *et al.* 2015, Kundzina *et al.* 2017), and some of the sample material in these studies comprised mechanical exposures (class I pulp caps) (Mente *et al.* 2014). All things considered, best current evidence would indicate that a modern pulp capping procedure (class II pulp caps) should be successful in approximately 80%–90% of cases (Hilton *et al.* 2013, Marques *et al.* 2015, Kundzina *et al.* 2017).

Other factors may affect prognosis to a varying degree. Young patients are considered good candidates for VPT due to an enhanced pulpal blood supply, open root apices and pulps free of age-related change (Goodis *et al.* 2012). VPT after pulp exposure, based on observational data, retrospective data (Mente *et al.* 2010, Kunert *et al.* 2015, Linsuwanont *et al.* 2017, Harms *et al.* 2019) and randomized clinical trials (Asgary *et al.* 2015, Kang *et al.* 2017), however, indicates a similar distribution of successes and failures irrespective of patient age albeit the data is heterogeneous and the quality of evidence sub-optimal. Within a randomized trial, it was demonstrated that patient age was a more important variable after pulp exposure than it was when the pulp was not exposed during treatment (Bjørndal *et al.* 2017). The size of the exposure site is also not a significant factor in success (Mejåre & Cvek 1993, Dammaschke *et al.* 2010), although one study suggested that if the exposure was very large (>5 mm), it was less successful (Chailertvanitkul *et al.* 2014).

Recent evidence demonstrates success of full pulpotomy after 1 year to range between 75%–95%, even in teeth with signs and symptoms indicative of irreversible pulpitis (Asgary & Eghbal 2013, Asgary *et al.* 2015, 2017, 2018, Galani *et al.* 2017, Linsuwanont *et al.* 2017, Qudeimat *et al.* 2017, Taha *et al.* 2017). Notably, these success rates are difficult to compare with other VPT techniques, as the maintenance of pulp health cannot be verified by pulp sensibility testing. The potential of full pulpotomy in teeth with signs and symptoms indicative of partial irreversible pulpitis is clear and although the evidence is preliminary, it indicates that an extension of the traditional rationale for VPT is likely in the future.

Recommendations

After VPT, teeth should be carefully monitored by history and clinical examination at 6 months, supplemented by periapical radiograph at 1 year. If symptoms persist or there is uncertainty regarding healing, the tooth should continue to be assessed at regular intervals. Cold and electric pulp sensibility testing should be carried out to monitor pulpal response, noting that teeth with full pulpotomy will be unresponsive.

Summary and concluding remarks

Developments in understanding of the defensive response of the dentine-pulp complex and a drive to develop minimally invasive treatment solutions in Endodontics have created significant interest in vital pulp treatment. It must be highlighted that there is a paucity of high-quality comparative research on mature carious permanent teeth that addresses many of the central questions. Although this area is likely to modify in the future, this ESE Position Statement must be cautious in recommending treatments of choice that are preliminary and not supported by robust long-term evidence.

References

- Abella F, Patel S, Duran-Sindreu F, Mercadé M, Bueno R, Roig M (2012) Evaluating the periapical status of teeth with irreversible pulpitis by using cone-beam computed tomography scanning and periapical radiographs. *Journal of Endodontics* **38**, 1588–91.
- Aeinehchi M, Eslami B, Ghanbariha M, Saffar AS (2003) Mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA) and calcium hydroxide as pulp-capping agents in human teeth: a preliminary report. *International Endodontic Journal* **36**, 225–31.
- Al-Hiyasat AS, Barrieshi-Nusair KM, Al-Omari MA (2006) The radiographic outcomes of direct pulp-capping procedures performed by dental students: a retrospective study. *Journal of the American Dental Association* **137**, 1699–705.
- Ali AH, Koller G, Foschi F, Andiappan M, Bruce KD, Banerjee A, Mannocci F (2018) Self-Limiting versus Conventional Caries Removal: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Journal of Dental Research* **97**, 1207–13.
- American Association of Endodontists (2013) Endodontic diagnosis. <https://www.aae.org> accessed 3rd September 2018.
- Asgary S, Eghbal MJ (2013) Treatment outcomes of pulpotomy in permanent molars with irreversible pulpitis using biomaterials: a multi-center randomized controlled trial. *Acta Odontologica Scandinavica* **71**, 130–6.
- Asgary S, Eghbal MJ, Fazlyab M, Baghban AA, Ghoddsi J (2015) Five-year results of vital pulp therapy in

- permanent molars with irreversible pulpitis: a non-inferiority multicenter randomized clinical trial. *Clinical Oral Investigations* **19**, 335–41.
- Asgary S, Eghbal MJ, Bagheban AA (2017) Long-term outcomes of pulpotomy in permanent teeth with irreversible pulpitis: a multi-center randomized controlled trial. *American Journal of Dentistry* **30**, 151–5.
- Asgary S, Hassanizadeh R, Torabzadeh H, Eghbal MJ (2018) Treatment outcomes of 4 vital pulp therapies in mature molars. *Journal of Endodontics* **44**, 529–35.
- Ballal V, Rao S, Bagheri A, Bhat V, Attin T, Zehnder M (2017) MMP-9 in dentinal fluid correlates with caries lesion depth. *Caries Research* **51**, 460–5.
- Barrieshi-Nusair KM, Qudeimat MA (2006) A prospective clinical study of mineral trioxide aggregate for partial pulpotomy in cariously exposed permanent teeth. *Journal of Endodontics* **32**, 731–5.
- Barthel CR, Rosenkranz B, Leuenberg A, Roulet RF (2000) Pulp capping of carious exposures: treatment outcome after 5 and 10 years: a retrospective study. *Journal of Endodontics* **26**, 525–8.
- Bjørndal L (2018) Caries pathology and management in deep stages of lesion formation. In: Bjørndal L, Kirkevang L-L, Whitworth J, eds. *Textbook of Endodontology*, 3rd edn. Oxford: UK: Wiley Blackwell, pp. 61–78.
- Bjørndal L, Reit C, Bruun G *et al.* (2010) Treatment of deep caries lesions in adults: randomized clinical trials comparing stepwise vs. direct complete excavation, and direct pulp capping vs. partial pulpotomy. *European Journal of Oral Science* **118**, 290–7.
- Bjørndal L, Fransson H, Bruun G *et al.* (2017) Randomized clinical trials on deep carious lesions: 5-year follow-up. *Journal of Dental Research* **96**, 747–53.
- Bjørndal L, Simon S, Tomson PL, Duncan HF (2019) Management of deep caries and the exposed pulp. *International Endodontic Journal* **52**, 949–73.
- Bogen G, Kim JS, Bakland LK (2008) Direct pulp capping with mineral trioxide aggregate: an observational study. *Journal of the American Dental Association* **139**, 305–15.
- Chailertvanitkul P, Paphangkorakit J, Sooksantisakoonchai N *et al.* (2014) Randomized control trial comparing calcium hydroxide and mineral trioxide aggregate for partial pulpotomies in cariously exposed pulps of permanent molars. *International Endodontic Journal* **47**, 835–42.
- Cho SY, Seo DG, Lee SJ, Lee J, Lee SJ, Jung IY (2013) Prognostic factors for clinical outcomes according to time after direct pulp capping. *Journal of Endodontics* **39**, 327–31.
- Dammaschke T, Leidinger J, Schäfer E (2010) Long-term evaluation of direct pulp capping—treatment outcomes over an average period of 6.1 years. *Clinical Oral Investigations* **14**, 559–67.
- De Souza Costa CA, Hebling J, Hanks CT (2000) Current status of pulp capping with dentin adhesive systems: a review. *Dental Materials* **16**, 187–97.
- Dorozhkin SV, Epple M (2002) Biological and medical significance of calcium phosphates. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **41**, 3130–46.
- Dummer PM, Hicks R, Huws D (1980) Clinical signs and symptoms in pulp disease. *International Endodontic Journal* **13**, 27–35.
- European Society of Endodontology (2006) Quality guidelines for endodontic treatment: consensus report of the European Society of Endodontology. *International Endodontic Journal* **39**, 921–30.
- Galani M, Tewari S, Sangwan P, Mittal S, Kumar V, Duhan J (2017) Comparative evaluation of postoperative pain and success rate after pulpotomy and root canal treatment in cariously exposed mature permanent molars: a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Endodontics* **43**, 1953–62.
- Garfunkel A, Sela J, Ulmansky M (1973) Dental pulp pathology: clinicopathologic correlations based on 109 cases. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology* **35**, 110–7.
- Goodis HE, Kahn A, Simon S (2012) Aging and the pulp. In: Hargreaves K, Goodis HE, Tay F, eds. *Seltzer and Bender's Dental Pulp*, 2nd edn. Berlin, Germany: Quintessenz.
- Granath LE, Hagman G (1971) Experimental pulpotomy in human bicuspid with reference to cutting technique. *Acta Odontologica Scandinavica* **29**, 155–63.
- Harms CS, Schäfer E, Dammaschke T (2019) Clinical evaluation of direct pulp capping using a calcium silicate cement - treatment outcomes over an average period of 2.3 years. *Clinical Oral Investigations* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-018-2767-5>.
- Hashem D, Mannocci F, Patel S *et al.* (2015) Clinical and radiographic assessment of the efficacy of calcium silicate indirect pulp capping: a randomized controlled clinical trial. *Journal of Dental Research* **94**, 562–8.
- Hashem D, Mannocci F, Patel S *et al.* (2018) Evaluation of the efficacy of calcium silicate vs. glass ionomer cement indirect pulp capping and restoration assessment criteria: a randomised controlled clinical trial-2-year results. *Clinical Oral Investigations* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-018-2638-0>. [Epub ahead of print].
- Hilton TJ, Ferracane JL, Mancl L; Northwest Practice-based Research Collaborative in Evidence-based Dentistry (NWP) (2013) Comparison of CaOH with MTA for direct pulp capping: a PBRN randomized clinical trial. *Journal of Dental Research* **92**, 16–22S.
- Innes NP, Frencken JE, Bjørndal L *et al.* (2016) Managing carious lesions: consensus recommendations on terminology. *Advances in Dental Research* **28**, 49–57.
- Jespersen JJ, Hellstein J, Williamson A, Johnson WT, Qian F (2014) Evaluation of dental pulp sensibility tests in a clinical setting. *Journal of Endodontics* **40**, 351–4.
- Kang CM, Sun Y, Song JS *et al.* (2017) A randomized controlled trial of various MTA materials for partial pulpotomy in permanent teeth. *Journal of Dentistry* **60**, 8–13.

- Krifka S, Seidenader C, Hiller KA, Schmalz G, Schweikl H (2012) Oxidative stress and cytotoxicity generated by dental composites in human pulp cells. *Clinical Oral Investigations* **16**, 215–24.
- Kundzina R, Stangvaltaite L, Eriksen HM, Kerosuo E (2017) Capping carious exposures in adults: a randomized controlled trial investigating mineral trioxide aggregate versus calcium hydroxide. *International Endodontic Journal* **50**, 924–32.
- Kunert GG, Kunert IR, da Costa Filho LC, de Figueiredo JAP (2015) Permanent teeth pulpotomy survival analysis: Retrospective follow-up. *Journal of Dentistry* **43**, 1125–31.
- Leye Benoist F, Gaye Ndiaye F, Kane AW, Benoist HM, Farge P (2012) Evaluation of mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA) versus calcium hydroxide cement (Dycal) in the formation of a dentine bridge, a randomised controlled trial. *International Dental Journal* **62**, 33–9.
- Linsuwanont P, Wimonsutthikul K, Pothimoke U, Santiwong B (2017) Treatment outcomes of mineral trioxide aggregate pulpotomy in vital permanent teeth with carious pulp exposure: the retrospective study. *Journal of Endodontics* **43**, 225–30.
- Mainkar A, Kim SG (2018) Diagnostic accuracy of 5 dental pulp tests: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Endodontics* **44**, 694–702.
- Maltz M, Garcia R, Jardim JJ *et al.* (2012) Randomized trial of partial vs. stepwise caries removal: 3-year follow-up. *Journal of Dental Research* **91**, 1026–31.
- Maltz M, Koppe B, Jardim JJ *et al.* (2017) Partial caries removal in deep caries lesions: a 5-year multicenter randomized controlled trial. *Clinical Oral Investigations* **22**, 1337–43.
- Marques MS, Wessellink PR, Shemesh H (2015) Outcome of direct pulp capping with mineral trioxide aggregate. *Journal of Endodontics* **41**, 1026–31.
- Matsuo T, Nakanishi T, Shimizu H, Ebisu S (1996) A clinical study of direct pulp capping applied to carious-exposed pulps. *Journal of Endodontics* **22**, 551–6.
- Mejäre I, Cvek M (1993) Partial pulpotomy in young permanent teeth with deep carious lesions. *Endodontics and Dental Traumatology* **9**, 238–42.
- Mejäre IA, Axelsson S, Davidson T *et al.* (2012) Diagnosis of the condition of the dental pulp: a systematic review. *International Endodontic Journal* **45**, 597–613.
- Mente J, Geletneky B, Ohle M *et al.* (2010) Mineral trioxide aggregate or calcium hydroxide direct pulp capping: an analysis of the clinical treatment outcome. *Journal of Endodontics* **36**, 806–13.
- Mente J, Hufnagel S, Leo M *et al.* (2014) Treatment outcome of mineral trioxide aggregate or calcium hydroxide direct pulp capping: long-term results. *Journal of Endodontics* **40**, 1746–51.
- Michaelson PL, Holland GR (2002) Is pulpitis painful? *International Endodontic Journal* **35**, 829–32.
- Mjör IA, Tronstad L (1974) The healing of experimentally induced pulpitis. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology* **38**, 115–21.
- Nair PNR, Duncan HF, Pitt Ford TR, Luder HU (2008) Histological, ultrastructural and quantitative investigations on the response of healthy human pulps to experimental capping with mineral trioxide aggregate: a randomized controlled trial. *International Endodontic Journal* **41**, 128–50.
- Nyvad B, Crielaard W, Mira A, Takahashi N, Beighton D (2013) Dental caries from a molecular microbiological perspective. *Caries Research* **47**, 89–102.
- Parirokh M, Torabinejad M, Dummer PMH (2018) Mineral trioxide aggregate and other bioactive endodontic cements: an updated overview - part I: vital pulp therapy. *International Endodontic Journal* **51**, 177–205.
- Petrou MA, Alhamoui FA, Welk A, Altarabulsi MB, Alkilzy M, Splieth CH (2014) A randomized clinical trial on the use of medical Portland cement, MTA and calcium hydroxide in indirect pulp treatment. *Clinical Oral Investigations* **18**, 1383–9.
- Pitts NB, Zero DT, Marsh PD *et al.* (2017) Dental caries. *Nature Reviews Disease Primers* **25**, 17030. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrdp.2017.30>.
- Qudeimat MA, Alyahya A, Hasan AA (2017) Mineral trioxide aggregate pulpotomy for permanent molars with clinical signs indicative of irreversible pulpitis: a preliminary study. *International Endodontic Journal* **50**, 126–34.
- Rechenberg DK, Held U, Burgstaller JM, Bosch G, Attin T (2016a) Pain levels and typical symptoms of acute endodontic infections: a prospective, observational study. *BMC Oral Health* **16**, 61.
- Rechenberg DK, Galicia JC, Peters OA (2016b) Biological markers for pulpal inflammation: a systematic review. *PLoS ONE* **11**, e0167289.
- Reeves R, Stanley HR (1966) The relationship of bacterial penetration and pulpal pathosis in carious teeth. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology* **22**, 59–65.
- Ricucci D, Loghin S, Siqueira J Jr (2014) Correlation between clinical and histologic pulp diagnoses. *Journal of Endodontics* **40**, 1932–9.
- Schwendicke F, Frencken JE, Bjørndal L *et al.* (2016) Managing carious lesions: consensus recommendations on carious tissue removal. *Advances in Dental Research* **28**, 58–67.
- Seltzer S, Bender IB, Ziontz M (1963) The dynamics of pulpal inflammation: correlations between diagnostic data and actual histologic findings in the pulp. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology* **16**, 871–6.
- Simon S, Perard M, Zanini M *et al.* (2013) Should pulp chamber pulpotomy be seen as a permanent treatment? Some preliminary thoughts. *International Endodontic Journal* **46**, 79–87.
- Swedish Council on Health Technology Assessment (2010) Methods of diagnosis and treatment in endodontics: a systematic review. Assessment No. 203. [WWW document] URL <https://www.sbu.se/contentassets/eafcce68f3aa438cb>

- 932c76702cde403/methods-of-diagnosis-and-treatment-in-endodontics_full.pdf.
- Taha NA, Khazali MA (2017) Partial pulpotomy in mature permanent teeth with clinical signs indicative of irreversible pulpitis: a Randomized Clinical Trial. *Journal of Endodontics* **43**, 1417–21.
- Taha NA, Ahmad MB, Ghanim A (2017) Assessment of mineral trioxide aggregate pulpotomy in mature permanent teeth with carious exposures. *International Endodontic Journal* **50**, 117–25.
- Villa-Chávez CE, Patiño-Marín N, Loyola-Rodríguez JP, Zavala-Alonso NV, Martínez-Castañón GA, Medina-Solís CE (2013) Predictive values of thermal and electrical dental pulp tests: a clinical study. *Journal of Endodontics* **39**, 965–9.
- Warfvinge J, Bergenholtz G (1986) Healing capacity of human and monkey dental pulps following experimentally-induced pulpitis. *Endodontics and Dental Traumatology* **2**, 256–62.
- Whaites E, Drage N (2013) Periapical radiography. In: Whaites E, Drage N, eds. *Essentials in Dental Radiography and Radiology*, 3rd edn. London, UK: Churchill Livingstone, pp. 85–119.
- Wolters WJ, Duncan HF, Tomson PL *et al.* (2017) Minimally invasive endodontics: a new diagnostic system for assessing pulpitis and subsequent treatment needs. *International Endodontic Journal* **50**, 825–9.